



TITLE:

Examples of Algebraic Surfaces with $q = 0$ and $p_g \leq 1$ which are Locally Hypersurfaces

AUTHOR(S):

Oka, Mutsuo

CITATION:

Oka, Mutsuo. Examples of Algebraic Surfaces with $q = 0$ and $p_g \leq 1$ which are Locally Hypersurfaces. 数理解析研究所講究録 1986, 595: 99-111

ISSUE DATE:

1986-07

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/99526>

RIGHT:

Examples of Algebraic Surfaces with $q = 0$ and $p_g \leq 1$ which
are Locally Hypersurfaces

Mutsuo Oka

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Sciences,
Tokyo Institute of Technology
Oh-Okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152

§ 1. Introduction

Algebraic surfaces with $q = p_g = 0$ have been studied through pluri-canonical mappings in various papers ([3, 5, 10, 11, 9, 12, 1, 2]). The purpose of this note is to give examples of algebraic surfaces with $q = 0$ and $p_g \leq 1$ from the viewpoint of the singularity theory.

Let \bar{M} be a compactification of an affine surface M which is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad g(w) = w_1^a w_3^b + w_2^c w_3^d + w_3^e + 1 = 0$$

where $a > b$, $c > d$ and

$$(1.2) \quad a + b \geq c + d \geq e > 0.$$

This simple class of algebraic surfaces contains many

interesting algebraic surfaces. The fundamental group $\pi_1(\bar{M})$ is always a finite cyclic group ([7]). In particular, the irregularity $q(\bar{M})$ is zero for such \bar{M} . In our previous paper [8], we have studied rational or K3-surfaces which are exceptional divisors of the resolutions of three dimensional Brieskorn singularities. In this paper we give five minimal surfaces of the above type with $p_g \leq 1$ which are not either rational or K3-surfaces. Though most of them are known surfaces, our method gives a different approach to them.

In § 2, we study a canonical way of the compactification \bar{M} of M through the toroidal embedding theory.

In § 3, we study three algebraic surfaces \bar{M}_1 , \bar{M}_2 and \bar{M}_3 with $q = p_g = 0$. \bar{M}_1 and \bar{M}_3 are known as an Enriques surface and a Godeaux surface. \bar{M}_2 is a minimal surface with $\pi_1(\bar{M}_2) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, $e = 12$ and $K^2 = 0$ where K is a canonical divisor and e is the Euler characteristic.

In § 4, we study two minimal surfaces \bar{M}_4 and \bar{M}_5 with $q = 0$ and $p_g = 1$. \bar{M}_4 satisfies that $K^2 = 2$, $e = 22$ and $\pi_1(\bar{M}_4) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. \bar{M}_5 is a simply connected surface with $K^2 = 1$ and $e = 23$. \bar{M}_3 , \bar{M}_4 and \bar{M}_5 are surfaces of general type. There are systematical studies by Todorov for \bar{M}_4 and \bar{M}_5 ([11, 12]).

§2. Compactification

Unless otherwise stated, we use the same notations as in [7, 8] throughout this paper. Let $f_{\Xi}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^4 z_1^{a_{i1}} \dots z_4^{a_{i4}}$ be a homogeneous polynomial. We assume that $A_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{i4})$ ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) span a three-simplex Ξ . Let $f(z) = f_{\Xi}(z) + \sum_{i=1}^4 z_i^N$ for a sufficiently large N and let $V = f^{-1}(0)$. Then V has an isolated singular point at the origin and the Newton boundary $\Gamma(f)$ is non-degenerate. Let $\Gamma^*(f)$ be the dual Newton diagram and let Σ^* be a simplicial subdivision. Let $\pi: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be the associated resolution of V . For each strictly positive vertex Q of Σ^* with $\dim \Delta(Q) \geq 1$, there is a corresponding exceptional divisor $E(Q)$ of the above resolution ([7]). Let $P = {}^t(1, 1, 1, 1)$. Then $\Delta(P) = \Xi$ and $E(P)$ is the surface in which we are interested. The birational class of $E(P)$ does not depend on either the choice of N or on Σ^* but depends only on $f_{\Xi}(z)$. Let P_1, \dots, P_4 be the vertices of Σ^* which are adjacent to P and $\dim \Delta(P_i) \geq 2$. We assume that $\Delta(P_i) \cap \Xi$ is the triangle with vertices A_j for $j \neq i$. We also assume that Σ^* is canonical around P on each triangle $T(P, P_i, P_j)$ in the sense of [7]. The fundamental group $\pi_1(E(P))$ is a finite cyclic group by Theorem (7.3) of [7].

Let M be the affine algebraic surface in \mathbb{C}^3 which is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad g(w) = w_1^a w_3^b + w_2^c w_3^d + w_3^e + 1 = 0$$

where $a > b$ and $c > d$ and

$$(2.2) \quad a + b \geq c + d \geq e > 0.$$

As the homogeneous polynomial $f_{\Xi}(z)$, we take

$$(2.3) \quad f_{\Xi}(z) = z_1^a z_3^b + z_2^c z_3^d z_4^h + z_3^e z_4^i + z_4^{a+b}$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad a + b = c + d + h = e + i.$$

We will show the following.

Theorem (2.5). The exceptional divisor $E(P)$ is a smooth compactification of M .

Proof. To prove the assertion, it suffices to show that there exists a three dimensional simplex $\sigma = (P, Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$ in Σ^* such that the defining equation of $E(P)$ in $C_{\sigma}^3 = \{y_{\sigma 0} = 0\} \cap C_{\sigma}^4$ is equal to $g(y_{\sigma 1}, y_{\sigma 2}, y_{\sigma 3}) = 0$. Let P_1, \dots, P_4 be the vertices of Σ which are adjacent to P and $\dim \Delta(P_i) \geq 2$ as before. It is easy to see that $P_1 \equiv {}^t(1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $P_2 \equiv {}^t(0, 1, 0, 0)$ modulo $Z \langle P \rangle$. We assume that $P_3 \equiv {}^t(0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ modulo $Z \langle P \rangle$. By the definition, P_3 satisfies the following.

$$(2.6) \quad b\beta = c\alpha + d\beta + h\gamma = (a + b)\gamma < e\beta + i\gamma.$$

Note that

$$(2.7) \quad \det(P, P_1, P_2) = 1$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \det (P, P_1, P_2, P_3) = \beta - \gamma.$$

Here $\beta - \gamma$ is strictly positive by the inequality of (2.6) and (2.4). Thus we can take $Q_1 = P_1$, $Q_2 = P_2$ and

$$(2.9) \quad Q_3 = (P_3 + \delta P_1 + \varepsilon P_2 + \theta P) / (\beta - \gamma)$$

where δ , ε and θ are integers such that $0 \leq \delta, \varepsilon, \theta < (\beta - \gamma)$ as in Lemma (3.8) of [7]. If we replace P_i by $P_i' = P_i + n_i P$ for some integer n_i , δ and ε do not change but only θ changes in (2.9). Thus the defining equation of $E(Q)$ in C_σ^3 does not change. See also the argument below. Thus we may assume that $P_1 = {}^t(1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $P_2 = {}^t(0, 1, 0, 0)$ and $P_3 = {}^t(0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then the integrity of Q_3 implies that

$$(2.10) \quad \delta + \theta \equiv \varepsilon + \alpha + \theta \equiv \beta + \theta \equiv 0 \text{ modulo } \beta - \gamma.$$

Let

$$h(y_\sigma) = y_{\sigma 1}^{a'} y_{\sigma 3}^{b'} + y_{\sigma 2}^{c'} y_{\sigma 3}^{d'} + y_{\sigma 3}^{e'} + 1 = 0$$

be the defining equation of $E(P)$ in C_σ^3 . Then we have

$$a' = P_1(A_1) - d(P_1) = a,$$

$$b' = Q_3(A_1) - d(Q_3) = \delta a / (\beta - \gamma),$$

$$c' = P_2(A_2) - d(P_2) = c,$$

$$d' = Q_3(A_2) - d(Q_3) = \varepsilon c / (\beta - \gamma),$$

$$e' = Q_3(A_3) - d(Q_3) = (P_3(A_3) - d(P_3)) / (\beta - \gamma).$$

By (2.4) and (2.6), we have the following equalities.

$$(2.11) \quad b(\beta - \gamma) = a\gamma \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2.12) \quad c(\gamma - \alpha) = d(\beta - \gamma).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} b' &= \delta a / (\beta - \gamma) \\ &\equiv \beta a / (\beta - \gamma) \text{ modulo } a \text{ by (2.10)} \\ &\equiv \gamma a / (\beta - \gamma) \text{ modulo } a \\ &\equiv b \text{ modulo } a \text{ by (2.11)}. \end{aligned}$$

As $0 \leq b' < a$ and $b < a$ by the definition, this implies $b' = b$. Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} d' &= \varepsilon c / (\beta - \gamma) \\ &\equiv (\beta - \alpha) c / (\beta - \gamma) \text{ modulo } c \text{ by (2.10)} \\ &\equiv (\gamma - \alpha) c / (\beta - \gamma) \text{ modulo } c \\ &\equiv d \text{ modulo } c \text{ by (2.12)}. \end{aligned}$$

As $0 \leq d' < c$ and $d < c$, we have that $d' = d$. Finally

$$e' = (P_3(A_3) - d(P_3)) / (\beta - \gamma) = e.$$

Thus we have shown that $h(\mathbf{w}) = g(\mathbf{w})$, which completes the proof.

Hereafter we denote $E(P)$ by \bar{M} . In §3 and §4, we study

algebraic surfaces \bar{M} with $p_g \leq 1$. The details of the calculation for K^2 , $e(\bar{M})$ and $\pi_1(\bar{M})$, we refer to [7] and [8].

Remark (2.13). Let E' be the simplex in R^3 with vertices $(a,0,b)$, $(0,c,d)$, $(0,0,e)$ and $(0,0,0)$. Let v^1, \dots, v^k be the other possible integral points in E' . Let

$$g_t(w) = g(w) + \sum_{i=1}^k t_i w^{v^i}$$

and let M_t be defined by $g_t(w) = 0$. Let U be the Zariski open set which is defined by the union of $t \in C^k$ such that $g_t(w)$ is globally non-degenerate in the sense of [6]. Then $\{M_t\}$ ($t \in U$) can be compactified simultaneously with $M = M_0$ and the complex manifold \hat{M} which is the union $\bigcup_{t \in U} \bar{M}_t$ gives a k -dimensional deformation of \bar{M} . We call $\{w^{v^i}\}$ the embedded monomials of $g(w)$. All the numerical calculations for \bar{M} which follow in §3 and §4 remain true for \bar{M}_t .

§ 3. Surfaces with $q = p_g = 0$.

In this section, we will study three minimal algebraic surfaces \bar{M}_1 , \bar{M}_2 and \bar{M}_3 with $q = p_g = 0$. \bar{M}_1 is known as an Enriques surface and \bar{M}_3 is a Godeaux surface. \bar{M}_2 is a minimal surface with $\pi_1(\bar{M}_2) \cong Z/3Z$, $e(\bar{M}_2) = 12$ and $K^2 = 0$. Here K is a canonical divisor and $e(\bar{M}_2)$ is the Euler characteristic.

(I) Let $M_1 = \{g_1(w) = 0\}$ where

$$g_1(w) = w_1^4 w_3^3 + w_2^4 w_3^2 + w_3 + 1.$$

Then $f_\Delta(z) = z_1^4 z_3^3 + z_2^4 z_3^2 z_4 + z_3 z_4^6 + z_4^7$ is the corresponding homogeneous polynomial. We may take $P_3 = {}^t(0, 1, 7, 3)$ and $P_4 = {}^t(0, -1, -6, -2)$. As $\det(P, P_1, P_3) = \det(P, P_2, P_4) = 2$, we need two vertices $T_{13} = (P + P_1 + P_3) / 2$ on $T(P, P_1, P_3)$ and $T_{24} = (P_2 + P_4) / 2$ on $T(P, P_2, P_4)$ respectively. Here we are only considering vertices of Σ^* which are adjacent to P . We denote the divisor $E(P) \cap E(P_i)$ in $E(P)$ by $C(P_i)$ etc. Let $\sigma = (P, P_1, P_2, R)$ be the fixed three-simplex of Σ^* where $R = (3P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P) / 4 = {}^t(1, 1, 2, 1)$. Let ω be the meromorphic two form on $\bar{M}_1 = E(P)$ which is defined by

$$dy_{\sigma 1} \wedge dy_{\sigma 2} \wedge dy_{\sigma 3} / dg_1(y_\sigma)$$

on C_σ^3 and $K = (\omega)$. By § 9 of [7], we get

$$(3.1) \quad K = 2C(P_4) + C(T_{24}) - 2C(P_3) - C(T_{13}),$$

$$(3.2) \quad K^2 = 0, \quad e(\bar{M}_1) = 12 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(\bar{M}_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $p : \tilde{M}_1 \rightarrow \bar{M}_1$ be the universal covering and let φ_{34} be the rational function on \bar{M}_1 which is defined by $\pi^*(z_4 z_3^{-1})$. Then we have that

$$(3.4) \quad (\varphi_{34}) = 2K$$

Thus there is a rational function ψ on \tilde{M}_1 such that $\psi^2 = p^* \varphi_{34}$. Then it is easy to see that $\psi^{-1} p^* \omega$ is a nowhere vanishing two-form on \tilde{M}_1 . This implies that \tilde{M}_1 is a K3-surface and \bar{M}_1 is called an Enriques surface. (See Griffiths

[4], P.541 for the standard way of the construction of a Enriques surface.)

$g_1(w)$ has 6 embedded monomials w^{ν^i} where $\{\nu^i\}$ ($i=1, \dots, 6$) are $(0,1,1)$, $(0,2,1)$, $(1,0,1)$, $(1,2,2)$, $(2,0,2)$ and $(2,1,2)$.

(II) Let $M_2 = \{g_2(w) = 0\} \subset C^3$ where

$$(3.5) \quad g_2(w) = w_1^9 w_3^6 + w_2^3 w_3^2 + w_3 + 1$$

Then $f_{\Delta}(z) = z_1^9 z_3^6 + z_2^3 z_3^2 z_4^{10} + z_3 z_4^{14} + z_4^{15}$ and

$P_3 = {}^t(0,0,5,2)$ and $P_4 = {}^t(0,-2,-14,-5)$. As

$\det(P, P_1, P_4) = 3$, we need a vertex $T_{14} = (P_4 + P_1 + 2P) / 3$

on $T(P, P_1, P_4)$. Let $\sigma = (P, P_1, P_2, R)$ where

$R = (P_3 + 2P_1 + 2P_2 + P) / 3$. Then we have

$$(3.6) \quad K = 7C(P_4) + 2C(T_{14}) - 2C(P_3), \quad K^2 = 0,$$

$$(3.7) \quad e(\bar{M}_2) = 12 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1(\bar{M}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

As $(\varphi_{34}) = 9C(P_4) - 3C(P_3) + 3C(T_{14})$, $3K$ is linearly equivalent to $3C(P_4)$. This easily proves that \bar{M}_2 is minimal.

$g_2(w)$ has 10 embedded monomials w^{ν^i} where $\{\nu^i\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 10$) are $(1,0,1)$, $(2,0,2)$, $(3,0,2)$, $(4,0,3)$, $(6,0,4)$, $(0,1,1)$, $(2,1,2)$, $(3,1,3)$, $(5,1,4)$ and $(1,2,2)$.

(III) Let $M_3 = \{g_3(w) = 0\}$ where

$$(3.8) \quad g_3(w) = w_1^5 w_3^3 + w_2^5 w_3^2 + w_3 + 1.$$

Then $f_{\Delta}(z) = z_1^5 z_3^3 + z_2^5 z_3^2 z_4 + z_3 z_4^7 + z_4^8$ and $P_3 = {}^t(0, 1, 8, 3)$ and $P_4 = {}^t(0, -1, -7, -2)$. Let $\sigma = (P, P_1, P_2, R)$ where $R = (P_3 + 3P_1 + 2P_2 + 2P) / 5$. Then we have

$$(3.9) \quad K = 2C(P_4) - C(P_3), \quad K^2 = 1,$$

$$(3.10) \quad e(\bar{M}_3) = 11 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1(\bar{M}_3) \cong \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}.$$

As $3K \sim C(P_4) + 2C(P_3)$, \bar{M}_3 is minimal by Lemma (4.23) of [8]. \bar{M}_3 is a Godeaux surface. See [10, 5]. \bar{M}_3 is isomorphic to the surface in Example (7.12) of [7].

$g_3(w)$ has 8 embedded monomials w^{ν^i} where $\{\nu^i\}$ ($i=1, \dots, 8$) are $(1, 0, 1)$, $(3, 0, 2)$, $(0, 1, 1)$, $(1, 1, 1)$, $(2, 1, 2)$, $(0, 2, 1)$, $(2, 2, 2)$ and $(1, 3, 2)$. As 8 is the dimension of the moduli space of the Godeaux surface ([5]), it is possible that our deformation is complete. We do not discuss this in this paper.

§4. Surfaces with $q = 0$ and $p_g = 1$

In this section, we will study three minimal surfaces \bar{M}_4 , \bar{M}_5 and \bar{M}_6 with $q = 0$ and $p_g = 1$.

(IV) Let $M_4 = \{g_4(w) = 0\}$ where

$$(4.1) \quad g_4(w) = w_1^8 w_3^3 + w_2^4 w_3^2 + w_3 + 1.$$

Then $f_{\Delta}(z) = z_1^8 z_3^3 + z_2^4 z_3^2 z_4^5 + z_3 z_4^{10} + z_4^{11}$ and $P_3 = {}^t(0, -1, 11, 3)$ and $P_4 = {}^t(0, 0, -5, -1)$. We need three vertices T_{13}^1 , T_{13}^2 and T_{13}^3 on $T(P, P_1, P_3)$ where $T_{13}^1 = (P_3 + 3P_1 + P) / 4$ and etc.. Let $\sigma = (P, P_1, P_2, R)$ where

$R = (P_3 + 3P_1 + 4P_2 + 5P) / 8$. Then we have

$$(4.2) \quad K = C(P_4), \quad K^2 = 2,$$

$$(4.3) \quad e(\bar{M}_4) = 22 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1(\bar{M}_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus $p_g = 1$ and \bar{M}_4 is minimal. It is known that there is an algebraic surface S with $q = p_g = 0$ and $\pi_1(S) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ ([10]). We do not know whether our surface \bar{M}_4 is the double cover of such a surface S or not.

$g_4(w)$ has 11 embedded monomials w^{ν^i} where $\{\nu^i\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 11$) are $(1,0,1)$, $(2,0,1)$, $(4,0,2)$, $(5,0,2)$, $(0,1,1)$, $(3,1,2)$, $(4,1,2)$, $(0,2,1)$, $(2,2,2)$ and $(1,3,2)$.

(V) Let $M_5 = \{g_5(w) = 0\}$ where

$$(4.7) \quad g_5(w) = w_1^6 w_3^4 + w_2^3 + w_3^2 + 1.$$

Then $f_\Delta(z) = z_1^6 z_3^4 + z_2^3 z_4^7 + z_3^2 z_4^8 + z_4^{10}$ and $P_3 = {}^t(0, 2, 5, 2)$ and $P_4 = {}^t(0, -3, -4, -1)$. We need two vertices T_{13}^1 and T_{13}^2 on $T(P, P_1, P_3)$ where $T_{13}^1 = (P_3 + 2P_1 + P) / 3$. We take $\sigma = (P, P_1, P_2, T_{13}^1)$ and by an easy calculation, we have

$$(4.8) \quad K = C(P_4), \quad K^2 = 1,$$

$$(4.9) \quad e(\bar{M}_5) = 23 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1(\bar{M}_5) = \{1\}.$$

$g_5(w)$ has 14 embedded monomials which correspond to $(0,0,1)$, $(1,0,1)$, $(1,0,2)$, $(2,0,2)$, $(3,0,2)$, $(3,0,3)$, $(4,0,3)$, $(0,1,0)$, $(0,1,1)$, $(1,1,1)$, $(2,1,2)$, $(3,1,2)$, $(0,2,0)$ and $(1,2,1)$. There are beautiful studies by Todorov for \bar{M}_4 and

\overline{M}_5 in [11, 12].

References

- [1] R. Barlow, Some new surfaces with $p_g = 0$, Duke Math. J., 51 (1984), 889-903.
- [2] R. Barlow, A simply connected surface of general type with $p_g = 0$, Inventiones Math., 79 (1985), 293-301.
- [3] F. Bombieri, Canonical models of surfaces of general type, Publ. Math IHES, 42 (1973), 171-219.
- [4] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, Principles of Algebraic Geometry, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York-Chichester-Brisbane-Toronto, 1978.
- [5] Y. Miyaoka, Tricanonical Maps of Numerical Godeaux Surfaces, Inventiones Math., 34 (1976), 99-111.
- [6] M. Oka, On the topology of the Newton boundary II, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 32 (1980), 65-92.
- [7] M. Oka, On the Resolution of Hypersurface Singularities, to appear in Proceeding of US-Japan Singularity Seminar, 1984.

- [8] M. Oka, On the resolution of three dimensional Brieskorn singularities, to appear in Proceeding of US-Japan Singularity Seminar, 1984.
- [9] F. Oort and C. Peters, A Campedelli surface with torsion group $\mathbb{Z}/2$, Nederl. Akad. Wetensche Indag. Math., 43 (1981), 399-407.